

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,112	<u> </u>	08/21/2003	Jeffrey D. Otten	SEAH/508US	6681
22031	7590	07/01/2004		EXAM	INER
NICK A NICHOLS				AVILA, STEPHEN P	
P O BOX 16399 SUGARLAND, TX 774966399				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3617	
				DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/645,112	OTTEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen Avila	3617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 August 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Off	fice Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic crity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation Noeived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		al Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3617

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Pallini, Jr. et al. Pallini, Jr. et al discloses the basic claimed structure including a keel centralizer (Figure 5) with a flat body, a flange 12, an opening 34, a bore 33, and a bearing ring 32.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pallini, Jr. et al in view of Cannon. Pallini, Jr. et al do not disclose the ring being of non-metallic composite. Cannon teaches a non-metallic composite. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the ring of Pallini, Jr. et al to be of a non-metallic composite as taught by Cannon for reduced wear and increase safety by reducing sparking. Additionally, it would have been an obvious choice of engineering design to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been to form the composite of the particular elasticity for improved durability.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,112 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

5. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pallini, Jr. et al in view of Knight et al. Pallini, Jr. et al do not disclose a wear and corrosion resistant ceramic particle coating. Knight et al teach a wear and corrosion resistant ceramic particle coating. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Pallini, Jr. et al with a wear and corrosion resistant ceramic coating for increased durability.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Davies et al show a riser. Munk et al show a riser. Chou et al show a centralizer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Avila whose telephone number is 703-308-2578. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8 AM to 4 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Avila Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617
